

re application of:

CRAWFORD and LINDENSTRUTH

Serial No. 08/795,592

Filed: February 6, 1997

For: Apparatus and Method for Managing

Digital Resources by Controlling Tokens Associated with Digital

Resources

Attorney Docket No. A-63739/WSG

# DECLARATION OF WILLIAM S. GALLIANI UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.47(a)

Assistant Commissioner of Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

## I, WILLIAM S. GALLIANI, declare that:

- 1. I am the attorney who prepared the above-identified patent application. In this application and in this petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.47(a) I am representing the interests of The Regents of the University of California (hereinafter "The Regents").
- 2. Upon information and belief, the subject matter of the present invention was conceived and developed by Henry J. Crawford and Volker Lindenstruth while employed by the Regents. Henry J. Crawford has signed a declaration for the invention and an assignment of the invention to The Regents.
- 3. Upon information and belief, Volker Lindenstruth is obligated by agreement with The Regents to assign his entire interest in the subject matter of the present invention to The Regents. A copy of the relevant portion of the Patent Policy Agreement executed by Volker Lindenstruth on July 6, 1995, is attached as Exhibit I. The Agreement states that "I agree that every possibly patentable device; process, plant or product, hereinafter referred to as 'invention', which I conceive or develop while employed by University, or during the course of my utilization of any University research facilities or any connection with my use of gift, grant, or contract research funds received through the University, shall be examined by

University to determine rights and equities therein ... In the event any such invention shall be deemed by University to be patentable, and University desires, pursuant to determination by University as to the rights and equities therein, to seek patent protection thereon, I shall execute any documents and do all things necessary at University's expense, to assign to University all rights, title and interest therein and to assist University in securing patent protection thereon...."

- 4. I worked closely with Henry J. Crawford and Volker Lindenstruth in preparing this patent application. This work included meeting with the inventors, written communications with the inventors, and oral communications with the inventors.
- 5. On April 27, 1997, approximately two months after the patent application was filed, Volker Lindenstruth sent me a communication indicating that he believed he was the primary inventor of the subject matter of the patent application.
- 6. On or around May 27, 1997, and June 17, 1997, I received communications from Henry J. Crawford discussing his work and contributions to the subject matter of the patent application.
- 7. On July 8, 1997, The Regents instructed me to conduct a formal review and determination of inventorship.
- 8. On or around August 15, 1997, Volker Lindenstruth presented me with oral testimony and physical documentation establishing his contributions to the subject matter of the patent application.
- 9. On October 3, 1997, Henry J. Crawford presented me with physical documentation establishing his contributions to the subject matter of the patent application.
- 10. I carefully studied all of the inventorship materials provided to me. On October 9, 1997, I advised The Regents that Henry J. Crawford and Volker Lindenstruth were properly named as co-inventors of the subject matter of the patent application. Henry J. Crawford and Volker Lindenstruth were advised of this conclusion on or around the same day.
- 11. In view of the conclusion on inventorship, declarations and assignments were individually mailed to Henry J. Crawford and Volker Lindenstruth on October 9, 1997.
- 12. Henry J. Crawford contacted me on or around October 27, 1997, to indicate that he had executed both the declaration and assignment. He sent me a facsimile copy of the documents and mailed the original documents on or around that date.

USSN 08/795,592

- Lindenstruth by telephone. He advised me that he was consulting with his attorney as to whether he should sign the declaration and assignment. Mr. Lindenstruth indicated, as he had done previously, that he did not dispute Mr. Crawford's contribution to certain claims in the application, but he should be acknowledged as the primary inventor of the subject matter of the application. It was explained to Mr. Lindenstruth that the patent laws in the United States do not distinguish between primary and secondary inventors and that since he has acknowledged that Mr. Crawford is an inventor of at least some of the claims in the application, he could legally execute the declaration, without regard to who is a primary inventor and who is a secondary inventor.
- 14. I contacted Mr. Lindenstruth by telephone on October 30, 1997. He indicated at that time that he still had not spoken with his attorney so he had not executed the declaration and assignment. Later the same day (October 30, 1997), Mr. Lindenstruth indicated to me that he intended to sign the declaration and assignment and drop them off at my office the next day, October 31, 1997.
- 15. I met with Mr. Lindenstruth on October 31, 1997. The executed declaration and assignment documents that he provided to me included numerous conditions and provisos inserted into the standard declaration and standard assignment forms. For example, on the standard declaration form, Mr. Lindenstruth added an insert indicating which claims he believed he was the inventor of and which claims he believed Mr. Crawford was the inventor of. On the standard assignment form, Mr. Lindenstruth added language to make the assignment contingent. In addition, Mr. Lindenstruth eliminated certain language regarding his obligation to assist in the ongoing prosecution of the application.
- 16. I sent, by facsimile, the modified declaration and assignment to The Regents on October 31, 1997, for their review and consideration.
- 17. On November 3, 1997, The Regents advised me that the modified declaration and assignment would limit or jeopardize their rights in the invention. The Regents viewed the modifications to the declaration as inappropriate since Mr. Lindenstruth's interpretation of who is the inventor of which claims does not dispute the fact that Mr. Crawford and Mr. Lindenstruth are joint inventors of the claimed subject matter in the application. Furthermore, Mr. Lindenstruth's interpretation of who is the inventor of which claims is not legally binding.

USSN 08/795,592

The Regents do not want to implicitly or explicitly acknowledge Mr. Lindenstruth's interpretation of who is the inventor of which claims in the application. With reference to the changes to the assignment, it is The Regents' position that the conditions added to the assignment and terms removed from the assignment potentially dilute the full rights to which The Regents are contractually entitled.

- 18. It is respectfully submitted that the foregoing circumstances establish that Mr. Lindenstruth has effectively refused to join in the prosecution of the patent application.

  Accordingly, I was asked to prepare this petition to preserve the rights of The Regents and to prevent irreparable damage to The Regents.
- 19. I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true. I further declare that all statements made by me herein are made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that any willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of any patent resulting therefrom.

November 3, 1997

William S. Galliani

UC BERKELEY OTL

SO , 9 JATOT

**©NIVERSITY PATENT POLICY, continued . . .** 

net royalities and toos per invention, received by the University. Not royalities and defined as gross royalities and fees, lasts 15% thorsal for administrative costs, and less the costs of patenting, protecting, and preserving patent rights, maintaining patents, the licensing of patents and property rights, and such other costs turned or reinfouragements as may be necessary or required by law.

When there are two or more inventions, each inventor shall share occupilly in the

inventor a enero of royallies, unless all inventors proviously have agreed in writing to

6 different distribution of each share.
Distribution of the inventor's share shall be made arrueally in Robrusay from the amount received during the penultimuse calendar year. In the event of any lolgetton, actual or immitted, or any other action to protect petent rights, the University may withhold distribution and impound royalties until resolution of the matter.

D. In the disposition of any not income account to the University from patents, first consideration shall be given to the support of research.

### III). PATENT RESPONSIBILITIES AND ADMINISTRATION

- A. Pursuant to Standing Order 100.4(gg), the President has responsibility for all matters relating to patents in which the University of California is in any way concerned.
- The Provident is advised on such matters by the Intellectual Property Advisory Council (IPAC), which is chaired by the Senior Vice President—Academic Affeirs. The membership of IPAC includes representatives from campuses, Agricultum and Natural Provinces, the Department of Energy Laboratories, and the Director of the Office of Technology Transfer IPAC is exponsible for:
  - Office of technology trained: IMPC is responsible for:

    1. reviewing and proposing University policy on infallocitual property matters including patents, copyrights, trademarks, and tenglible respects products;

    2. reviewing proposed exceptions to established policies; and

    3. advising the President on established matters as requested.
- The Senior Vice President Administration is responsible for implementation of this
- The sensor was measure. Assumestation is responsible for implementation of this Policy, including the following:

  1. Evaluating inventions and discoveries for patentability, as well as selentific, merit and presidest application, and requesting the filling and presecution of patent applications.
- 2. Evaluating the patent or analogous property rights or equities held by the University in an invention, and negatiating agreements with cooperating organizations. If any with nespect to such rights or equities.

  Negatizations if any with nespect to such rights or equities.

  Negatizations if any with nespect to such rights or equities.

  Negatizations if any with nespect to such rights or equities.

  Negatizations if any with nespect to such rights or equities.

  Directing patent and/or analogous property rights held by the University.

  Directing and amonging for the collection and appropriate distribution of reyeldes.
- and facts
- 6. Assisting University efficers in negotiating agreements with cooperating argentizations concerning prospective rights to patentiable inventions or discoveries made as a result of research carried out under grants, contracts, or other agreements to be funded in whole or in part by such cooperating organizations, and negotiating with Federal agencies regarding the disposition of
- patern rights.

  8. Recommending to the President appropriate action on quamptions from the agreement to seeign inventions and patents to the University as required by Sadian II, A., above.

Rayland April 16, 1890

BEST AVAILABLE COP

# PATENT ÁGREEMENT

# (Please read Patent Policy on reverse side and above.)

This agreement is made by me with The Regents of the University of Celifornia, a corporation, hereinefer called "University," in part consideration of my employment, and of wages and/or salary to be paid to me during any period of my employment, by University, and/or my utilization of University research facilities end/or my receipt of gift, grent, or contract research funds through the University.

By execution of this agreement I understand that I am not waiving any rights to a percentage of royally payments received by University, as set forth in University Patent Policy, needland "Policy" I also understand and agree that the University has the right to change the Policy at any time, including the percentage of net royally payments paid to ma.

I agree that every possibly patentable device, precess, plant, or product, hereinallar referred to as "invention," which I conceive or devolor while couplayed by University, or during the course of my utilization of any University research facilities or any connection with my use of gift, grant, or centract research funds received through the University shall be examined by University to determine rights and equities therein accordance with the Policy, and I shall promptly furnish University with complete information with respect to each.

In the event any such invention shall be deemed by University to be patentable, and University dealines, pursuant to determination by University as to the rights and equities therein, to seek patent proportion therein. I shall execute any decuments and do all things necessary, at University expense, to assign to University and ingrits, title and international therein and to sessing the university in security patent protection thereon. The coope of this provides to International Control of the patent p

by University. - pi >

University may relinquish to me All excepted of its right to any such invention, it, in its judgment, the orients set forth in the Policy have been met.

Lagrae to be bound necessarily invention during any periods of employment by University or for any period during which I contrally a or develop any invention during the course of my utilization of any University asseron facilities, or any girt, grant, or contract research funds received through the University.

In algoring this agreement I understage that the law, of which notification is given below, explice to me, that I can still required to disclose all my inventions to the University.

This agreement does not supply to an invention which questions under this provisions of Leber Code each time 2570 of the State of Colifornia which provides that (a) Any provisions in an arrelegment agreement which provides that an arrelegee shall easign, or offer to assign, any of his or har rights in an invention to his or her own time without using the employer's equipment, supplies, (activities, or trade secret information except for inventions that either: (1) Figiate at the time of conception or reduction to practice of the invention to the employer's business, or actual or demonstrably anticipated greaters or development of the employer. (2) Result from any work performed by the employer for the employer. (b) To the extent a provision in an employment agreement purports to require an employer to excipt an invention otherwise excluded from being required to be assigned under subdivision (a), the provision is against the public policy of this state and is

In any cult or action arising under this lew the burden of proof shall be on the individual claiming the benefits of its provisions Wilness Storeture: LINDENSTRU 7/6/95 Employee/Guest Name Printi July 6, 1995 Employee/Guesi Signature: .. Data: (Please complete withholding certificate and Grate Cath, elso.)

ACCOUNTING -5 yet, after separation, except in cases of disability, referenced or disciplinary action, in which cases retain until age 70. Other Copies: 0-6 years efter separation. RETN:

ATTACH TO PERSONNEL ACTION FORM (UPAY 560-1)

Form UPAY 565-1 (RS/91)